

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 DEMETRIUS LAMAR BROCK,
5 Petitioner,
6
7 v.

8 RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,
9 Respondents.
10

Case No. 3:20-cv-00220-LRH-WGC

ORDER

11
12 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed an answer on March 12, 2021
13 (ECF No. 17). After an initial 45-day period and then a 30-day extension of time, the
14 petitioner, Demetrius Lamar Brock, represented by counsel, was due to file a reply by
15 May 26, 2021. See Order entered April 16, 2020 (ECF No. 3) (45 days for reply); Order
16 entered April 28, 2021 (ECF No. 26) (30-day extension).

17 On May 26, 2021, Petitioner filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 27),
18 requesting a further 21-day extension of time, to June 16, 2021, to file his reply.
19 Petitioner's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of the
20 complexity of the case. Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

21 The Court finds that Petitioner's motion for extension of time is made in good
22 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
23 extension of time requested.

24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of
25 Time (ECF No. 27) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **June 16, 2021**,
26 to file his reply to Respondents' answer.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
2 proceedings set forth in the order entered April 16, 2020 (ECF No. 3) will remain in
3 effect.

4
5 DATED this 26th day of May, 2021.

6
7 
8 _____
9 LARRY R. HICKS
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28